

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

mf

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/827,942	04/06/2001	Ray Alan Mentzer	10004068-1	6687	
57299 7590 01/31/2007 AVAGO TECHNOLOGIES, LTD. P.O. BOX 1920			EXAMINER		
			AGGARWAL, YOGESH K		
DENVER, CO 80201-1920			. ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2622	इस्प्राचेत्र १०० मा अस्ति हो	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/31/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/827,942	MENTZER, RAY ALAN		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Yogesh K. Aggarwal	2622		

	Yogesh K. Aggarwal	2622	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 02 January 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aft tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la</li> </ul>	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI 06.07(f).	E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount chortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, It (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a one NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	:	•	
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•	· ·
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		·	,
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	•		•
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See attached sheet.</u></li> </ol>		n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:		TUAN HO PRIMARY EXAMIN	_ <i>l</i> u

Application/Control Number: 09/827,942 Page 2

Art Unit: 2622

## Examiner's response:

1. Applicants argue that the Vmax and Vmin values of Gowda (secondary reference) are derived using previous frame values and are used to convert the current frame to corresponding digital values and therefore cannot be combined with Dobusch which operates on individual sensor elements. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

In this case, Dobusch teaches providing a signal that is working value of the gain V(x-1) based on the preceding sensor element P(x-1) being used for the following sensor element P(x) from a set of image signals that represent a single capture scene of interest (col. 2 lines 63-67, col. 2 lines 30-62, col. 3 lines 10-22, Abstract, figure 1) and digitizing an analog signal of a current pixel using said gain V(x) based on the previous value V(x-1) as a reference to derive a digitized signal of the current pixel (col. 2 lines 52-54, col. 2 lines 63-67). Therefore Dobusch teaches using gain V(x-1) from the previous pixel value P(x-1) to digitize a current pixel value.

Dobusch does not explicitly teach to generate two different values instead of just one that is used to digitize pixel values of the current pixel. Gowda was merely used to teach that instead of having one value from the previous pixel V(x-1) being used to digitize a current pixel, one skilled in the art is motivated to use two values Vmax and Vmin of the former pixel (as in Dobusch) when used in the system of Dobusch (col. 2 line 30-col. 3 line 10, col. 4 lines 14-22, figure 1). The motivation to combine the two references is recited in Gowda wherein using

Application/Control Number: 09/827,942 Page 3

Art Unit: 2622

Vmax and Vmin, the full dynamic range of the A/D converter is used thereby avoiding the overflow and underflow conditions (col. 3 line 50-col. 4 line 5). Therefore a prima facie case is met by fulfilling all the conditions:

- 1). The motivation is explicitly taught in prior art (Gowda).
- 2) With both Dobusch and Gowda trying to digitize pixel values based upon previous values, there is a reasonable expectation of success in combining the theories of Dobusch and Gowda.
  - 3) Dobusch and Gowda teach all the claimed limitations.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yogesh K. Aggarwal whose telephone number is (571) 272-7360. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00AM-5:30PM.

2. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivek Srivastava can be reached on (571)-272-7304. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/827,942

Art Unit: 2622

3. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

Page 4

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

YKA

January 29, 2007

ځ